5 69_3 <u>autonomy</u>, wherein the plurality of program modules are <u>each</u> configured to handle a common computer task <u>that includes conducting negotiations in an electronic commerce</u> <u>application</u>, and wherein, based upon an objective criteria, at least one selected program module from the plurality of program modules is selected to handle the computer task.

2

1

76. (Once Amended) The apparatus of claim <u>64</u> [75], wherein the plurality of program modules includes a semi-autonomous program module, a fully-autonomous program module, and a fully-dependent program module.

0 4

2

77. (Once Amended) The apparatus of claim <u>64</u> [75], wherein the objective criteria includes a risk that a dispatched agent is subjected to in negotiations.

REMARKS

This paper is submitted in reply to the Office Action dated April 1, 1999, within the three month period for a response. Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are respectfully requested.

5

In the subject Office Action, claims 30-32 and 36-48 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter. Moreover, claims 30, 36-37 and 39-47 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,655,081 to Bonnell et al. Moreover, several objections as to formal matters were made for the Specification and Declaration.

10

15

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's rejections to the extent that they are maintained.

As an initial matter, Applicants note that the Examiner did not consider the claims added by the second Preliminary Amendment filed on March 24, 1999. Moreover, it does not appear that the Examiner has yet considered the art submitted in the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed in connection with the aforementioned Preliminary Amendment. Applicants have made the above amendments and remarks below to address the claims added by

Page 3 of 8 Serial No. 09/100,595 IBM Docket RO996-052L WH&E IBM/04B Amendment and Response K-@m@4B-Amendment and Response wpd this Preliminary Amendment (specifically, claims 49-80) in addition to those claims initially considered by the Examiner. Moreover, Applicants respectfully submit that they aforementioned Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement was filed prior to issuance of the instant Office Action, and as such, should be considered by the Examiner with no payment of fees in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b). Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner return the initialed copies of the 1449 forms enclosed with the aforementioned Statement.

Applicants have also noted that the Examiner did not consider a significant number of the references cited in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on August 28, 1998, stating that copies of the references were not enclosed. However, it is Applicants understanding that copies of those references were not required to be submitted pursuant 37 CFR 1.98(d), since the instant application is a divisional application and the references were originally cited in a parent application. Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the Examiner is obligated to consider these references, and Applicants would appreciate if the Examiner would return initialed copies of the 1449 forms indicating that the references were considered with the next Office communication. Clean copies of the originally-filed 1449 forms are enclosed herewith for the Examiner's convenience.

Applicants have now canceled claims 46, 61 and 75 without prejudice, and amended claims 30, 47-49, 62-64 and 76-77. No new matter is added by the amendments, as the subject matter thereof is fully supported in the Specification, Drawings and Claims as originally filed. Applicants respectfully submit that the above amendments and the remarks presented below are sufficient to traverse the Examiner's rejections, and as such, the application is now in condition for allowance.

Applicants will now address each of the points raised by the Examiner in the order in which they appear in the Office Action.

Informal Matters

Turning to the subject Office Action, the Examiner objected to the Declaration as being defective for failing to provide original signatures. However, it is Applicants' understanding that the filing of a photocopy of an Oath or Declaration is proper under 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d) and

Page 4 of 8 Serial No. 09/100,595 IBM Docket RO996-052L WH&E IBM/04B Amendment and Response K-khw04B-Amendment and Response wyd

25

20

, 5

10

15

1.53(f) for a divisional patent application. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

In addition, the Examiner objected to the Disclosure for failing to state in the Specification that the application is a divisional of another application. However, the Examiner will note that the claim to priority was added to the application by way of amendment in the Preliminary Amendment filed concurrently with the Application on June 19, 1998. Withdrawal of this objection is therefore also respectfully requested.

Statutory Subject Matter Rejection

Next, the Examiner rejected claims 30-32 and 36-48 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. In particular, the Examiner asserts that the claims are not limited to practical applications of the technical arts, as they disclose no manipulation of data representing physical objects or activities, or any independent physical acts being formed by the invention. The Examiner further asserts that a computer program product embodied on a signal bearing media is not statutory because the term "signal bearing media" is broader than a "computer readable medium", which the Examiner asserts corresponds to a physical object that, when placed in a computer, causes a computer to operate in a particular fashion.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's Section 101 rejection, as it appears that the Examiner has misapplied the law in statutory subject matter to somehow require some manipulation of <u>physical</u> objects to define a statutory invention. It is Applicants' understanding that both the Patent Office's Examination Guidelines for Computer-Related Inventions, as well as current case law as exemplified by <u>State Street Bank & Trust v. Signature Financial Group</u>, 47 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1998) and <u>AT&T Corp. v. Excel Communications, Inc.</u>, 50 USPQ2d 1447 (Fed. Cir. 1999), merely requires that an invention be applied to produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." <u>State Street Bank</u> at 1602. On this basis, the claims are clearly statutory.

Claim 30, in particular, recites a program that performs a computer task using an intelligent agent. The intelligent agent includes at least one of a plurality of program modules, and at least one of the program modules is selected to handle a computer task based upon an objective criteria. Such selection of different program modules modifies the function of the

Fage 5 of 8
Serial No. 09/100,595
IBM Docket RO996-052L
WH&E IBM/04B
Amendment and Response
Kibm04BAmendment and Response hybrid Reponse hybrid Reponse hybrid Response hybrid

25

20

, 5

10

15

intelligent agent to operate in a different way in handling the task. Such an operation is not merely a manipulation of abstract ideas or mathematical formulas, but rather a useful, concrete, and tangible result is obtained by virtue of the optimization of the operation of the intelligent agent in performing the task. This practical utility of the claimed invention is all that is necessary to define a statutory invention.

. 5

10

15

20

25

Also, the Examiner asserts that a "signal bearing media" encompasses other than physical computer readable media (presumably floppy disks and the like). It appears that the Examiner is attempting to focus on which specific category the claim falls in, rather than the overall utility recited in the claim. This reasoning, however, is not proper under State Street Bank. Id.

Regardless, Applicants have specifically defined various examples of signal bearing media, including recordable-type media, such as floppy disks, hard disk drives and CD-ROM's, but also transmission-type media, such as digital and analog communication links (see page 22 of the Application). It is Applicants' understanding that transmission-type media are recognized as statutory. In fact, a computer program embodied on a carrier wave is provided as an illustration of a statutory invention in the examples appended to the Patent Office's Guidelines (see, for example, claim 13 of the Automated Manufacturing Plant example). Therefore, the Examiner's requirement that the claim be limited to recordable-type media is unsupported in the law.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are directed to statutory subject matter, and withdrawal of the Examiner's rejection is therefore earnestly solicited.

Art-Based Rejection

Next, in the subject Office Action, claims 30, 36-37 and 39-47 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Bonnell et al.

First, with respect to independent claim 30, this claim (as amended) now recites a program including an intelligent agent including at least one of a plurality of program modules having varied degrees of <u>autonomy</u>. The plurality of program modules are each configured to handle a common computer task that includes <u>conducting negotiations</u>. Based upon an objective criteria, at least one program module is selected to handle the computer task.

Page 6 of 8 Serial No. 09/100,595 IBM Docket RO996-052L WH&E IBM/04B Amendment and Response K-khm/04lf-Amendment and Response Claim 1 as amended is similar in scope to claim 46, which the Examiner claims is anticipated by Bonnell et al. The Examiner in particular argues that the limitation regarding the use in electronic commerce is merely a field of use statement, rather than an additional limiting feature of the claim. However, claim 30, as well as claim 46, both recite that the program modules of an intelligent agent are configured to conduct negotiations in an electronic commerce application. This recitation positively defines the operational characteristics of the modules, and is more than simply a field of use.

. 5

10

15

20

25

Moreover, this feature is not disclosed or suggested by Bonnell et al. Bonnell et al. is instead directed to a network management system that loads various modules based upon the types of network resources that are being managed by a particular agent in a network management system. The reference is utterly silent as to any electronic commerce application, or to the use of an intelligent agent in conducting negotiations. Furthermore, the reference is silent as to granting various degrees of <u>autonomy</u> to an intelligent agent, as is also recited in claim 30. For this reason, Bonnell et al. cannot be read to anticipate claim 30. Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 30 is therefore respectfully requested.

Moreover, claim 30 is non-obvious over Bonnell et al. given that there is no motivation in the art to modify the network management system of Bonnell et al. to support electronic negotiations, or to vary the operation of an intelligent agent by using different program modules having varying degrees of autonomy. The claimed invention, however, provides a unique and unexpected advantage in that an intelligent agent may be configured to conduct a common task (performing a negotiation) in different manners (with different degrees of autonomy) in different situations. As a consequence, the operation of the agent may be optimized for different situations. Applicants therefore respectfully submit that claim 30 is also non-obvious over Bonnell et al. Reconsideration and allowance of claim 30, as well as claims 31-45 and 47-48 which depend therefrom, are respectfully requested.

Next, with respect to independent claims 49 and 64, each of these claims have similarly been amended to recite that the plurality of program modules for an intelligent agent are configured to handle a common computer task that includes conducting negotiations in an

Page / 01 8
Serial No. 09/100,595
IBM Docket RO996-052L
WH&E IBM/04B
Amendment and Response
K-ban/04B-Amendment and Response

electronic commerce application, with the various program modules having varied degrees of autonomy. As discussed above in connection with claim 30, the use of different program modules having varied degrees of autonomy in an intelligent agent for the purpose of conducting negotiations is not disclosed or suggested by Bonnell et al. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of claims 49 and 64, as well as claims 50-60, 62-63, 65-74 and 76-77 which depend therefrom, are respectfully requested.

As to claims 78-80, which have not been rejected based upon the prior art, Applicants respectfully submit that these claims are also novel and non-obvious over Bonnell et al., as Bonnell et al. fails to disclose or suggest any method of handling a computer task where the selection of a program module for use in an intelligent agent is based upon the risk presented in a remote computer system. Accordingly, consideration and allowance of claims 78-80 are also respectfully requested.

In summary, Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are novel and nonobvious over the prior art of record. Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are therefore respectfully requested.

If there are any questions regarding this paper, or which might otherwise further this case onto allowance, please contact the undersigned at (513)241-2324. Moreover, if any other charges or credits are necessary to complete this communication, please apply them to Deposit Account 23-3000.

20

25

, 5

10

15

1 JULY 1999

Date

Respectfully submitted,

Scott A. Stinebruner

Reg. No. 38,323

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.

2700 Carew Tower

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513)241-2324

Sheet __1 (A) __ of __11_ U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ATTY. DOCKET NO. SERIAL NO. SUBSTITUTE FORM PTO-1449 09/100,595 PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IBM/04B (RO996-052L) (MODIFIED) BALDISCLOSURE **APPLICANT** INFORMA STATEMENT BY APPLICANT Joseph Phillip Bigus et al. **GROUP FILING DATE** (Use several sheets if necessary) 2762 (37 CFR 1.98(b)) June 19, 1998 **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** FILING DATE ISSUE **EXAMINER** PATENT NUMBER DATE **PATENTEE** CLASS **SUBCLASS** IF APPROPRIATE INITIAL 04/03/90 364 200 05/23/88 Packard et al. A.A 0 7 3 8 9 0 370 12/17/91 Peter J. Danielsen 58.2 12/30/88 A.B 9 6 700 05/23/88 05/26/92 395 A.C Stearns et al. 5 5 3 12/11/90 A.D 5 7 6 10/13/92 Bigus et al. 379 113 2 2 800 6 07/06/93 Westaway et al. 395 08/20/90 A.E 2 3 5 6 4 2 08/10/93 Wobber et al. 380 25 07/21/92 A.F 2 4 6 2 08/31/93 Ronald G. Smart 395 51 06/26/91 A.G 2 7 6 7 6 8 01/04/94 395 10 03/20/91 A.H James L. Bander 0 3 2 0 5 3 1 04/05/94 395 650 06/28/91 A.I McAtee et al. 3 1 7 6 18 8 161 02/26/93 05/31/94 Ralph T. Watson et al. 395 A.J 2 5 3 5 2 8 650 04/22/93 A.K 06/28/94 395 Johannes Klein FOREIGN PATENTS OR PUBLISHED FOREIGN PATENT APPLICATIONS **TRANSLATION DOCUMENT PUBLICATION COUNTRY OR** SUBCLASS PATENT OFFICE CLASS (YES/NO) NUMBER DATE A.L W09321586 10/28/93 WO9500907 01/05/95 A.M WO9502217 01/19/95 A.N A.O W09511560 04/27/95 WO9515635 06/08/95 A.P A.Q 2061117 02/12/92 Application for Canadian Patent OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Place of Publication) A.R Gilbert et al., "IBM Intelligent Agents", http://www.networking.ibm.com/iag/iagwp1.htm1, (1996). A.S "Commercial Expert System Shells", http://www.ioe.ac.uk/hgm/expert3.html, (1997).

EXAMINER

A.T

DATE CONSIDERED

"Commercial Software Packages for Neural Network Simulation", ftp://ftp.sas.com/pub/neural/FAQ6.html, (1997).

1 1 19

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not in conformance. Draw line through citation only if not in conformance and not considered. Include a copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Sheet _ 2 (B) _ of _ 11 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SERIAL NO. **SUBSTITUTE FORM PTO-1449** ATTY. DOCKET NO. PATENT, END TRADEMARK OFFICE IBM/04B (RO996-052L) 09/100.595 (MODIFIED) INFORMATION DISCRESSURE APPLICANT STATEMENT BY APPLICANT Joseph Phillip Bigus et al. **GROUP** (Use several sheets if necessary) FILING DATE (37 CFR 1:98(b)) June 19, 1998 2762 **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** EXAMINER_ **ISSUE** FILING DATE **SUBCLASS** IF APPROPRIATE INITIAL PATENT NUMBER **CLASS** DATE **PATENTEE** 3 2 2 07/12/94 395 375 10/23/90 B.A Klein et al. 5 3 6 419.20 B.B 11/22/94 Kawamoto et al. 364 06/25/93 3 5 3 6 B.C 6 11/22/94 Bauer et al. 395 200 08/29/91 3 9 B.D 5 1 8 8 12/06/94 Johannes Klein 395 650 04/22/93 9 2 4 265 B.E 02/21/95 Mary R. Otto 379 04/30/93 3 9 8 0 2 B.F 03/14/95 Jeffrey H. Derby et al. 340 825.03 11/24/92 0 8 0 04/18/95 370 62 B.G Lewis V. Rothrock et al. 10/14/93 2 0 3 1 1 05/30/95 650 07/08/93 B.H Curtis A. Smith 395 2 5 4 3 0 4 3 700 06/06/95 395 01/21/94 B.I Fitzpatrick et al. 4 6 5 2 8 6 11/07/95 379 34 B.J Clare et al. 05/24/94 4 9 5 6 6 2 B.K 11/21/95 Christian D. Saether 395 182.18 06/26/92 FOREIGN PATENTS OR PUBLISHED FOREIGN PATENT APPLICATIONS DOCUMENT **PUBLICATION** COUNTRY OR **TRANSLATION** NUMBER DATE PATENT OFFICE **CLASS SUBCLASS** (YES/NO) B.L EP0519109A1 12/23/92 European Yes EP0589249A2 03/30/94 B.M European Yes B.N EP0598534A1 05/25/94 European Yes EP0611210A1 08/17/94 B.O European Yes

B.P JP3195141 1991 Japan Group Yes B.Q JP4157944 1992 Japan Yes OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Place of Publication)

B.R A.D. Kwok et al., "A Development System for Intelligent Agent Manufacturing Software", Integrated Manufacturing Systems, 1994, Vol. 5 No. 4/5, pp.64-76. B.S Barbara Hayes-Roth, "An architecture for adaptive intelligent systems", Artificial Intelligence, January 1995, Volume 72, Numbers 1-2, pp. 329-365.

Siegfried R. Bocionek, "Agent systems that negotiate and learn", International Journal of Human-Computer Studies,

R.T March 1995, Volume 42, No. 3, pp. 265-288.

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

245ep99

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not in conformance. Draw line through citation only if not in conformance and not considered. Include a copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ATTY, DOCKET NO. SERIAL NO. **SUBSTITUTE FORM PTO-1449** PATENT AND TRADEMARK IBM/04B (RO996-052L) 09/100,595 (MODIFIED) INFORMATION DISCLOSURE **APPLICANT** STATEMENT BY APPLICANT Joseph Phillip Bigus et al. **FILING DATE GROUP** (Use several sheets if necessary) (37 CFR 1.98(b)) June 19, 1998 2762 U.S. PATENTA **FILING DATE EXAMINER** ISSUE IF APPROPRIATE INITIAL PATENT NUMBER DATE **PATENTEE** CLASS **SUBCLASS** 8 01/02/96 395 700 12/15/93 C.A Hamilton et al. 8 9 9 01/02/96 Christian D. Saether 395 182.13 03/23/95 C.B 5 6 0 3 0 3 1 02/11/97 683 07/08/93 C.C White et al. 395 C.D C.E C.F C.G C.H C.I C.J C.K FOREIGN PATENTS OR PUBLISHED FOREIGN PATENT APPLICATIONS **PUBLICATION DOCUMENT COUNTRY OR TRANSLATION** PATENT OFFICE **NUMBER** DATE **CLASS SUBCLASS** (YES/NO) JP4250101 1992 Japar JP5324009-1993 Japan C.N JP6175944 1994 Japan Yes C.O Group 2700 C.P C.Q OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Place of Publication) C.R Darrell Woelk et al., "Uncovering the next generation of active objects", OBJECT magazine, July/August 1995, pp. 32-40. C.S Jan Ozer, "Totally Streaming Multimedia", CD-ROM Professional, August 1996, Volume 9 No. 8, pp. 54-66. C.T Barbara Hayes-Roth et al., "A Domain-Specific Software Architecture for Adaptive Intelligent Systems", IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, April 1995, Vol. 21, No. 4, pp. 288-301. **EXAMINER** DATE CONSIDERED 245EP99 EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not in conformance. Draw line through citation only if not in conformance and not

considered. Include a copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

SUBSTITUTE (MODIFIED)	FORM F	PTO-14	49				U.S. DEPAR' PATENT AN					OCKET NO. (RO996-05		CLASS TRANSLATION (YES/NO)		
						DISC	LOSURE	O	50		APPLICA Joseph P	NT hillip Bigus	et al.			
		(Us	se sev	/era	l shee	ts if i	necessary)	TAT 0 6	1999		FILING D				•	
(37 CFR 1.98	3(6))		_					EXPENSE	CHAPTINE S	NTC	June 19,	1998		2/62		
		Γ						OKHTINO	AND COINE	NIS		· · · · · ·	<u> </u>		50,000,0475	
EXAMINER INITIAL		PAT	TENT	NU	MBER		ISSUE DATE		PATENT	EE		CLASS	SUBC	CLASS		
	D.A		Ш	_												
	D.B		Ш			Ш										
	D.C															
	D.D			\perp												
	D.E															
	D.F															
	D.G					П	· <u>·</u>									
	D.H															
	D.i		П				2									
	D.J		П													
	D.K															
				F	DREIG	N PA	TENTS OR I	PUBLISHED	FOREIGN	I PA	TENT APPL	ICATIONS				
			OCU			ı	PUBLICATIO DATE	N	COUNTRY OR PATENT OFFICE CLASS SUBCL					CLASS		
	D.L								·							
	D.M									[RECE	EIVE)			
	D.N											3 1999				
	D.0															
	D.P										Group	2700				
	D.Q															
				от	HER (oocu	JMENTS (Inc	luding Aut	hor, Title,	Date	e, Place of I	Publication)				
Wing.	D.R	Glenn 1989				Agent	s and the H	P NewWav	e Applicat	ion f	Program Int	erface", He	wlett-P	ackard.	lournal, August	
	D.S	T.J. (Futur	Grant, e Ger	, "A nera	revie tion_C	w of	Multi-Agent uter Systems	Systems t s, May 199	echniques, 92, Volume	, wit ∋ 7, l	h applicatio Number 4,	n to Colum pp. 413-43	bus Us 7.	er Suppo	ort Organisation",	
	D.T	Mufit	Ozde	en, '	'Intell	igent	Objects in S	Simulation"	, ORSA Jo	urna	I on Compu	ıting, Fall 1	994, V	ol. 6, No	o. 4, pp. 329-341.	
EXAMINER			/	_					DATE C	ons	IDERED					
9)	(WLD)										24	SEPT	9	JBCLASS FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE TRANSLATION		
EXAMINER: I										ine ti	hrough cita	tion only if	not in c	conforma	ance and not	

SUBSTITUTE (MODIFIED)	FORM	PTO-14	49			U.S. DEPAR PATENT AN	TMENT OF	COMMER!	ÇE ÇE		OCKET NO (RO996-05				
						SCLOSURE PPLICANT	0	0 6 1999	CSA	APPLICA Joseph P	NT hillip Bigus	et al.			
(37 CFR 1.9	8(b))	(Us	se sev	eral sl	neets i	if necessary)	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	دد کی کی	COFFEE	FILING D			GROUP 2762		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						U.S	S. PATENT	STOCUMEN	NTS					* *	
EXAMINER INITIAL		PA	rent i	NUME	ER	ISSUE DATE		PATENT	EE		CLASS	SUBC	CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE	
	E.A				T										
	E.B														
	E.C									·					
	E.D			\cdot											
	E.E														
	E.F														
	E.G														
	E.H														
	E.I													,	
	E.J			-											
	E.K							 			<u></u>				
				FOR	EIGN F	PATENTS OR	PUBLISHED	FOREIGN	PAT	ENT APPL	ICATIONS				
			NUMI			PUBLICATIO DATE	N	COUNTR PATENT (CLASS	SUBO	CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE TRANSLATION (YES/NO)	
	E.L					:									
	E.M									F	RECF	11/1			
	E.N														
	E.O										JUL 1	3 199	9		
	E.P										Group	270	0		
	E.Q					- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					ļ.,.,				
				ОТНЕ	R DO	CUMENTS (Inc	cluding Aut	hor, Title,	Date	, Place of	Publication		_	·	
Aw	E.R		Gibbo p. 11-		al., "I	ntelligent Age	nts in Mult	i-Media Sys	stem	s", Sharp	Technical J	ournal,	Decemb	er 1994, Volume	
	E.S		shop_c												
V	E.T														
EXAMINER				>			DATE CO	ONSI		5EP	99				
						her or not in c next communi			ne th	rough cita	tion only if	not in o	onforma	ance and not	

SUBSTITUTE (MODIFIED)	FORM	PTO-	144	19			U.S. DEP PATENT	ARTMEN	T OF COMMERCE PRIMARY OFFICE		OCKET NO (RO996-0			GROUP 2762 FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE					
			S	TATE	MEN.	T BY	ISCLOSURE APPLICANT		0 6 1999 4	APPLICA Joseph P	NT hillip Bigus	et al.							
(37 CFR 1.9	B(b))		(Use	e seve	eral s	heets	if necessar	Y EE	S ISS J	FILING D June 19,									
								U.S. PAT	BART CUMENTS	;									
EXAMINER INITIAL		i	PAT	ENT I	NUM	BER	ISSUE DATE		PATENTEE		CLASS	SUBO	CLASS						
	F.A																		
	F.B																		
	F.C	Ш																	
	F.D																		
	F.E																		
	F.F																		
	F.G																		
	F.H		-										•						
-	F.I									•									
	F.J																		
	F.K																		
					FOF	REIGN	PATENTS (OR PUBLIS	SHED FOREIGN PA	TENT APPL	ICATIONS								
				OCUN NUME			PUBLICA DAT		COUNTRY (PATENT OFF		CLASS	SUBO	CLASS	TRANSLATION (YES/NO)					
	F.L	_																	
	F.M									D									
	F.N										CEI)						
	F.O								150	J	UL 13	1999	71						
	F.P									G	roup 2	I .							
	F.Q				,							- 00							
				. (отн	ER DO	CUMENTS	(Including	Author, Title, Dat	e, Place of	Publication	1)							
Sie	F.R	.W.c		hop o					ed System for Distr s, and Languages,										
	F.S	Da Ag	vid ent	Kinny World	eta d, M	I., "PI AAMA	anned Team AW '92, S. I	n Activity Martino a	", 4th European W I Cimino, Italy, July	orkshop_on / 29-31, 19	Modelling 92, pp. 22	Autonor 27-256.	nous Ag	ents in a Multi-					
V	F.T								cial Intelligence", 4 Martino al Cimino,					autonomous Agents					
EXAMINER		I		3)	,				DATE CONS		ETP9	9							
									mance. Draw line to				conforma	ance and not					

Sheet _7_(G)__ of __11_

SUBSTITUTE (MODIFIED)	FORM F	PTO-14	49				U.S. DEPART		COMMERCE ARK OFFICE		OCKET NO. (RO996-05	96-052L) 09/100,595 Bigus et al. GROUP 2762 FILING DATE				
							CLOSURE PLICANT	O1P	1999	APPLICA Joseph P	NT hillip Bigus	et al.				
(37 CFR 1.98	3(b))	(Us	se sev	/era	l shee	ts if	necessary)	JUL 0 6	1999 4	FILING D June 19,				•		
·							U.S	ENTENT	UMENTS	L						
EXAMINER INITIAL		PA	TENT	NU	MBER		ISSUE DATE		PATENTEE		CLASS	SUBC	CLASS			
	G.A															
	G.B															
	G.C							•								
	G.D															
	G.E															
	G.F		Ш	\perp					····							
	G.G		Ц	\perp												
	G.H			\perp		Ц										
	G.I		Ц	\perp		Ц										
	G.J		Ш	\perp		Ц										
	G.K			\perp												
FOREIGN PATENTS OR PUBLISHED FOREIGN PATENT APPLICATIONS																
		C	NUN				PUBLICATIO DATE	N	COUNTRY C		CLASS	SUBC	TRANSLATION (YES/NO)			
	G.L					_										
	G.M				<u></u>	4										
	G.N					_										
	G.O										Н	EC	FIVI	FD		
	G.P					_	·					JUL	1 3 19	99		
	G.Q							<u>L</u>		<u>.</u>	ـــــــر	l Srou j	ולכ ר			
				ОТ	HER [oc	UMENTS (Inc	luding Aut	hor, Title, Date	e, Place of	Publication))) 2/(
WL	G.R								nalized Informa Florida, March				f the Nir	oth Conference on		
1	G.S								Say about Da es, California,					Conference on		
1	G.T													ily Office Work", 994, Vol. 1, pp. 7-		
EXAMINER		_	1		1				DATE CONS	IDERED						
		Cu	14								450	P79				
EXAMINER: I									e. Draw line tl	hrough cita	tion only if	not in c	onforma	ance and not		

SUBSTITUTE (MODIFIED)	FORM F	PTO-1449	U.S. DEPARTMENT (PATENT AND TRAD			OCKET NO. (RO996-05			FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE TRANSLATION				
		INFORMATION DIS	DOLLCANT	- A	APPLICAI Joseph Pi	NT hillip Bigus	et al.						
(37 CFR 1.98	R(b))	(Use several sheets		<i>\$1</i>	FILING DA June 19,	_		GROUP 2762	•				
(67 6111 1.50	,,,,,,		U.S. PATEN	S TOADENIA S				2702					
EXAMINER			ISSUE	· · · · · ·									
INITIAL		PATENT NUMBER	DATE	PATENTEE		CLASS	SUBC	LASS	IF APPROPRIATE				
	H.A		 										
	H.B												
	H.C												
	H.D H.E								<u></u>				
	H.F												
	H.G												
	н.н			.									
	H.I												
	H.J												
	H.K			- 									
FOREIGN PATENTS OR PUBLISHED FOREIGN PATENT APPLICATIONS													
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	PUBLICATION DATE	COUNTRY OR PATENT OFFIC		SUBC	LASS						
	H.L	11											
	н.м				CEIN	ED							
	H.N					1888							
	н.о		7	JU	112	1999							
	H.P			Gr	oup 2	700							
	H.Q						l						
		OTHER DO	CUMENTS (Including A	uthor, Title, Date,	Place of F	oublication)							
	H.R	Enrique D. Espinosa, "I Proceedings of the Int' 305.											
	H.S	Rolf Pfeifer et al., "Dis of Autonomous Systen 30.											
	н.т	Alison Cawsey et al., " the 10th European Cor											
EXAMINER		()		DATE CONSID		se Pa	9						
EXAMINER: I considered.	nitial if o	itation considered, whet	ther or not in conformation to	nce. Draw line thre	ough citat	tion only if	not in c	onforma	nce and not				

SUBSTITUTE (MODIFIED)	FORM F	10-14	49			P	I.S. DEPAR PATENT AN	D TRADER	ARK OFFICE	,595					
					–	DISCL	OSURE LICANT		\chi_{\chi_{\chi}}	APPLICA Joseph P	NT hillip Bigus	et al.			
(37 CFR 1.98	i(b))	(Us	se se	vera	ıl shee	ets if n	ecessary)	2	THEE.	FILING D. June 19,			GROUP 2762		
							U.S	S. PATERT	DOEDMENTS						
EXAMINER	· ·						ISSUE				CLACC	CUDA	N ACC	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE	
INITIAL		PA	LENI	NU	IMBE		DATE		PATENTEE		CLASS	3080	CLASS	IF AFFROMIATE	
	I.A I.B	\vdash		+	+	╁┼					1				
	I.C		Н	\dashv	+	++									
	I.D		Н	\dashv	╁	H									
	I.E		Н	\dashv		++			·····			<u> </u>			
	I.F		Н	\dashv	+	+					<u> </u>				
	1.G	H	H	\dashv	+	1 1	<u> </u>								
	1.H	\vdash	Н	\dashv	+										
	1.1		\Box	\dashv	+							 			
	I.J		П	1	\top	\Box				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	I.K	5)5													
				F	OREIG	SN PA	TENTS OR	PUBLISHED	FOREIGN PA	TENT APPL	ICATIONS	•			
7		C	NUN			Р	UBLICATIO DATE	N	COUNTRY PATENT OFF		CLASS	SUBO	CLASS	TRANSLATION (YES/NO)	
	1.L														
	I.M														
	I.N					\bot				H	ECE	AFI)		
	1.0							1			UL 13	1999			
	I.P										roup :	2700			
	I.Q	<u> </u>								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
				0	THER	DOCU	MENTS (Inc	cluding Aut	hor, Title, Dat	e, Place of	Publication)			
with	I.R								ulti-Agent Econ, The Nether					11th European 8.	
	I.S								the Perspecti ence, Melbour						
	I.T	Impli	catio	ns",	Proc	eeding		man Factor	n-Computer E s and Ergonor					ome Design shville, Tennessee,	
EXAMINER		(h)	1	, 5		>			DATE CONSIDERED						
EXAMINER: I											E1799 Ition only if		conforma	ance and not	

(MODIFIED)	FORM	PTO-14	449				U.S. DEPART PATENT AND					OCKET NO (RO996-0		SERIA 09/10		
							CLOSURE PPLICANT	101	PETE		APPLICANT Joseph Phillip Bigus et al.					
(37 CFR 1.98	3(b))	(U	lse se	ever	al she	ets if	necessary)	1	0 6 1999		FILING D June 19,			GROU 2762	P	
							U.S.	PACENT	DOCUMENTS	3						
EXAMINER INITIAL		PA	TEN.	T NU	JMBE	R	ISSUE DATE		PATENTEE			CLASS	St	JBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE	
	J.A															
	J.B															
	J.C		Ш													
	J.D	Ш				L										
	J.E		Ш													
	J.F															
	J.G		Ш													
:	J.H															
	J.i															
	J.J															
	J.K															
		· · · · · · · ·		F	OREI	SN P	ATENTS OR P	UBLISHED	FOREIGN PA	NTE	ENT APPL	ICATIONS	i			
		1	DOCI NUI	UME MBE			PUBLICATION DATE	1	COUNTRY (CLASS	SU	JBCLASS	TRANSLATION (YES/NO)	
	J.L					\perp										
	J.M															
	J.N											ECE	IV	<u>ED</u>		
	J.0											JUL 1	R 10	99		
	J.P				<u> </u>							roup	27	30		
	J.Q					\perp							<u> </u>			
				0	THER	DOC	UMENTS (Incli	uding Aut	hor, Title, Dat	te,	Place of	Publication	n)			
SP	J.R	T. M Lond	oody on, L	, "A JK, I	T&T I March	Perso 6, 1	nalink (SM) - A 995, Digest N	A Public N o. 1995/0	letwork for Sn 046.	ma	rt Agent F	Pics", IEE_	Collog	uium_on_R	oaming_with_Data,	
	J.S	Lech 3rd_l	Polk nt'i. \	ows Wor	ki et i kshop	al., "i on F	Introducing Ro Rough Sets and	ugh Mere 1 Soft Cor	ological Contr mputing, San	oll Jo	ers: Roug se, Califo	gh Quality rnia, Nov.	Contr	ol", <u>Procee</u> 2, 1994.	edings of RSSC '94	
V	J.T	Terer Califo	nce J ornia,	. Pa , De	rr et a	ıl., " <i>l</i> ər 14	A Language for -15, T995, pp	r Creating . 123-13	and Manipula	atir	ng VRML"	, Symposi	nw or	the VRM	L <u>'95</u> , San Jose,	
EXAMINER		4	24						DATE CONS		_	=P9	5			
EXAMINER: I	nitial if o	itation copy	cons	sider	red, w	heth	er or not in cor	nformance	e. Draw line t	-	<u> </u>			n conforma	ance and not	

SUBSTITUTE (MODIFIED)	FORM F	PTO-1449			U.S. DEPAR PATENT AN	TMENT OF	COMMERCE LAKE OFFICE		OCKET NO. (RO996-05			FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE TRANSLATION		
					DISCLOSURE APPLICANT	0	OFFICE BOOK	APPLICANT Joseph Phillip Bigus						
(37 CFR 1.98	3(b))	(Use s	evera	al sheet	s if necessary)		NAME OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS	FILING DATE June 19, 1998			GROUP 2762			
		·			U.	S. PATENT	DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL	÷	PATEN	T NI	JMBER	ISSUE DATE		PATENTEE			SUB	CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE		
	K.A		П					 						
	К.В		П					-						
	K.C													
	K.D													
	K.E		\prod											
	K.F		\prod											
	K.G		\prod				RECE	IVE)					
	к.н		П				_							
	K.I		П					3 1999			******			
	K.J		П				Grou	2700						
	K.K													
			F	OREIGI	N PATENTS OR	PUBLISHED	FOREIGN PAT	TENT APPL	ICATIONS					
			UME		PUBLICATIO DATE)N	COUNTRY O		CLASS	SUBO	CLASS			
	K.L							,						
	K.M													
	K.N						•							
	K.O				1	18	3,402 10 431							
	K.P													
	K.Q													
			0.	THER D	OCUMENTS (In	cluding Aut	hor, Title, Date	, Place of I	Publication))				
and	K.R	Joseph F	. Big	us, Dat	a Mining with N	leural_Netw	orks, McGraw-	Hill Publish	ing Compa	ny, 199	96.			
9	K.S				curity Considera						nference	on Emerging		
	к.т													
EXAMINER		Cald		<i>></i> >			DATE CONSI		sep.	 7				
					ether or not in o						conforma	ance and not		